IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

DEC | 8 2019

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

CHURMA ECONOMICS & ANALYTICS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:19cv813

RICHARD LOMBARDO,

Defendant.

ORDER

Having reviewed the PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED DISCOVERY (ECF No. 13), the PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR EXPEDITED DISCOVERY (ECF No. 14), and the DEFENDANT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED DISCOVERY (ECF No. 15), the Court finds that:

- (1) the applicable analytical construct in which to analyze any request for expedited discovery is the so-called "reasonable, or good-cause standard." Attkisson v. Holder, 113 F.Supp.3d 156, 161-62 (D.D.C. 2015); Dimension Data N. Am., Inc. v. NetStar-1, Inc., 226 F.R.D. 528, 531 (E.D.N.C. 2005); and
- (2) the applicable analytical construct for analyzing a motion for expedited discovery as outlined in <u>Notaro v. Koch</u>, 95 F.R.D. 403 (S.D.N.Y. 1982) is inconsistent with the changes to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure respecting the district court's responsibilities and powers in respect of discovery; 5 J. Moore et al., Federal Practice & Procedure § 26.121 (3d ed. 2011); <u>Merrill Lynch</u>, <u>Periece</u>, <u>Fenner & Smith v. O'Connor</u>, 194 F.R.D. 618, 624 (N.D. Ill. 2000); and

Case 3:19-cv-00813-REP Document 17 Filed 12/18/19 Page 2 of 2 PageID# 190

(3) the use of the reasonableness and good cause standard is

substantively the appropriate analytical tool on motions for

expedited discovery because it more accurately frames the issues

presented by motions of that sort whether in cases involving

anticipated preliminary motions or otherwise; and

(4) There is good cause shown for plaintiff to pose discovery

early and it is reasonable to do so; and the posed discovery is

reasonable in subject and scope and the requested deposition is

reasonable as well.

It is therefore ORDERED the PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED

DISCOVERY (ECF No. 13) is granted and that the defendant shall, by

December 30, 2019, respond to the discovery requests attached to

the PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR

EXPEDITED DISCOVERY (ECF No. 14) as Exhibit A, and counsel shall

agree upon a schedule to take the defendant's deposition, which

will be taken not later than January 15, 2020.

It is further ORDERED that the facts and legal contentions

are adequately presented in the materials before the Court and

oral argument would not aid the decisional process.

It is so ORDERED.

/s/ REP

Robert E. Payne

Senior United States District Judge

Richmond, Virginia

Date: December 18, 2019

2